

	Item	Update		Who
1.				
	Present:	Chair:		
		Apologies:		
2.				
3.			1	
		2022-23 Allocation £22,170		
		2021-22 Underspend of £8,708.63		
		Available to allocated once existing commitments from 22/23 are accounted for is £22,228.63		



		GR stated LHFIGs will have additional responsibilities such as waiting restrictions, white lines, signs and footways (signs, stiles and improvements of WC maintained footways).		
4.				
	formation of the groups, these re	, previously Parking & Waiting Restriction requests were processe equests will be at the discretion of the groups to prioritise.	ed centrally. Following Cabinet's approve	al for the
		istoric requests for the Tidwoth Community Area.		
	The group must decide on wheth	ner to proceed with progression of these or to abandon.		
	There is one outstanding reques	t in the area – please see attached spreadsheet and request.		
5.				
a)	Ref 15-21-06 Station Road, Tidworth	Station Road in Tidworth is the main High Street with many shops and outlets, therefore creating a heavy traffic flow. There is already a 20mph speed limit on the main drag of the street,		
	Bend Warning / Chevron Signs	which appears to be working well. At the top of station road is a very sharp bend with a warning sign with two chevrons on it.		
		There have been many incidents of cars speeding and crashing into the verges when coming from the North East direction of Perham Down. This has become a dangerous, downhill, sharp bend to the right.		
		This subject was raised with the services committee of the town council, and it was proposed that Tidworth town council pay 25% towards the assessment for the installation of a		



		second Chevron sign on the bend at the top of Station Road. Work has now been completed. Issue to be closed and removed.	
b)	Ref 15-21-08 Ludgershall, Castle Court Request for Street Name sign	Castle Court has never ever had a Road Sign stating that it is Castle Court. NA confirmed that LTC had agreed to fund 25% of the implementation costs. Work has now been completed and invoiced. Issue to be closed and removed.	
c)	Priority No 01 Ref 15-20-3 Collingbourne Kingston Request for Speed Limit Assessment	The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338 We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.	



		RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.	
		Approved 04.06.22	
		GR to seek the lighting of the scheme to be funded by WC highways (£3-4K). Group to fund 75% of and CKPC 25% of the remainder. Post meeting note: GR confirmed the Group and CKPC will need to fund £9K of the cost (£6650 for the Group and £2350 from CKPC).	
		Proposal has been added to works prtogramme for 22-23 and wil be progressed in due course.	
d)	Priority No.02	The A338 outside of the Post Office is a very busy, often congested part of Pennings Road. There is a pedestrian	
	<u>Ref 15-21-02</u>	pavement outside of the Post Office, Tattoo Parlour and Flower Shop. Outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Parlour there is a	
	A338 Tidworth	shop outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Fahour there is a short parking area/layby for clients. Outside the Post Office there is no parking but to the side there is an alley way to a	
	Parking Issues Outside Post Office	residential building behind the Tattoo Parlour. The pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office is often used for parking and causes many ructions, and more so now with social distancing and queues forming outside of it. There have been several nasty incidents where individuals have been rude to the Postmistress. There is also a pelican crossing to the right of the Post Office (as you look at it), so this area is a very busy, which does not need further complications of car parking on the pavement.	

Wiltshire Council Where everybody matters

		 There is a clear need to have 2 or 3 bollards or other similar No Parking' measures in front of the Post Office parking as agreed at the Tidworth Town Council Meeting dated the 13th April. No Objections to the TRO were received. Work has been ordered with the contractor. Awaiting implementation date. 	
e)	Priority No 03	The A338 south Tidworth has a newly completed estate, call The Ashdown Estate. There is a small roundabout at the	
	<u>Ref 15-21-09</u>	junction with Rourke's Drift, and opposite is South Drive. South Drive leads to Tedworth House and Park.	
	A338 Tidworth	Many families from the Ashdown Estate cross the road near the roundabout, to walk down South Drive to Tedworth Park,	
	Ashdown Estate / South Drive	for recreational purposes, and to watch events that take place there.	
	Request for Dropped Kerb Crossing.	If they did not use South Drive, families would have to walk towards Hampshire Cross and access the park by the Avenue leading to Tedworth House, which is a very long diversion along a very busy main road. The Ashdown Residents have requested dropped kerbs near to the Rourke's Drift roundabout to ease the situation, by enabling pushchairs, wheelchairs etc to cross the road more safely, and access South Drive, which is a much shorter route. Tidworth Town Council has agreed to pay 25% contribution towards the survey, to help make this popular road crossing safer.	
		MC confirmed he had had a site visit with GR. GR outlined the potential difficulties with providing an informal crossing point. The Group agreed to fund £2000 for a design to provide an	



		 informal crossing 10m from the Northern end of the roundabout. Scheme has been allocated to an Engineer and preparatory work is underway to undertake the design. Topographical survey has now been completed (end March). Prepartory designs are underway. GR advised that a costed design should be ready for the next meeting. 	
f)	Priority No 4 Ref 15-21-10 A338 Collingbourne Ducis A338 / A346 Church Street / High Street Junction Alteration	Collingbourne Ducis continues to have issues with speeding across this junction and near misses on a weekly basis. It is far too easy for vehicles to not slow down to a safe speed when turning left onto the High Street coming from Church Street due to the generous nature of the corner. Our second issue with the roundabout is that no sooner does it get re- painted but within a year it is noticeably getting worn away. Having a flat painted roundabout again means vehicles are no slowing sufficiently crossing the roundabout which has several close by entrances to driveways and the pub car park. Build Raised Roundabout and / or look at slowing down (Building Out) the corner from Church Street to the High Street coming from Tidworth direction	
		It was agreed that Collingbourne Ducis put in requests for the schemes for discussion at the next meeting of the Group. An assessment of options for the roundabout is likely to cost £2000. Scheme has been allocated to an Engineer and preparatory work is underway to undertake the design. Topographical	



		survey has now been completed (end March). Prepartory designs are underway.	
		GR advised that a costed design should be ready for the next meeting.	
6.	Other Priority schemes		
a)	<u>Ref 15-22-01</u>	The lane is not suitable for HGV's and despite there being a 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign in place vehicles are still trying to access it. The current sign has been damaged.	
	Chute	I have received reports of damage in November and December 2021 and believe that there were reports of damage before I	
	Forest Lane	took on the role.	
	Request for Unsuitable for HGV Sign's	https://goo.gl/maps/52AudQ5JX5iudwLp9 The 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign being replaced with 'No HGV's' sign.	
		MC asked if Chute had confirmed it would fund 25% of the cost. GR stated this was not stated in the request. MC to contact the Clerk for Chute to confirm.	
b)	<u>Ref 15-22-02</u>	Everlight footpath 8 is a public footpath which starts from the netheravon Rd Everleigh footpath 200 metres South of the A342 in Everleigh and heads SE for about 1 kilometre to the vicinity of Weatherhill Firs.	
	Everleigh	Replace the Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at	
	Footpath 8	point A and cut the hedge	
	Request for replacement signs	• Erect an additional Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point B in order to confirm the route for walkers.	



		RIS stated that CKPC have spare right of way direction signs. EPC could have if they wanted do the work themselves. GR to provide a DB with RoW officer details to discuss the scheme. CW stated that the AB has funding for footpaths too.	
7.	Open / Other Issues		
a)			
8.	New Issues		
a)	Ref 15-22-03 Tidworth Ordance Road Request for Improved Pedestrian Facilities	 Ordnance Road SP9 7QB, runs from the new main roundabout in Tidworth along the A338 Pennings Road in Tidworth. It is the main route up to the old Ordnance Depot, now a business park, the Tidworth Leisure Centre on Nadder Road SP9 7QA, and the Clarendon Junior and Infant Schools on Wylye Road SP9 7QQ. It will also be the main route to the new Civic Centre once it has been built. The area is very congested especially at high peak times, including school opening and closing times. It becomes a bottle neck of traffic and pedestrians trying to cross Ordnance Road. Nadder Road and Wylye Road cut across Ordnance Road and is the main route for pedestrians to get to and from the schools. At the Full Town Council Meeting on 7th June 2022, Councillors agreed to pay a 30% contribution towards a survey 	



		to help make this area more accessible, and safe for pedestrians to cross Ordnance Road by means of a zebra crossing.	
9.	Other items		
a)	Tidworth to Perham Down Cyclepath.	To be discussed	
10.	АОВ		
a)	Tidworth Area Cycle Network	Tidworth and Ludgershall Cycle Net MA to update the map to take into account improvements since 2013. Group to consider future improvements required. GR advised that Government is likely to provide additional funding for cycling and walking schemes in future. I B-P suggested that the Group should have schemes agreed and supported in preparation for this. MC suggested that TTC and LTC should review their networks and make recommendations to CATG of possible improvements to the foot and cycle networks. CW suggested TAB also discuss the issue. NA request LTC review its network and suggest any	



		improvemente to ite network]
		improvements to its network.	
		MC/HJ request TTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.	
		HJ confirmed that Tidworth TC had an aspiration for provide a joint foot and cycle path for the length of the path between Tidworth and Perham Down.	
		MC to approach Ludgershall TC to see if it had considered the matter. Post meeting note: LTC confirmed they did not have any routes that needed improving at this stage.	
		NA raised a safety issue of white lining that had worn away. RS confirmed after the meeting that it is the responsibility of the Developer to reinstate the lines through a S278 agreement. An email had been sent to say these are now a safety issue and this job now needs to be completed urgently.	
b)	Empress Way Lining	NA stated that speeding was now an issue due to the give way lines having been moved. RS confirmed that a traffic island was to be placed at the informal crossing and other calming measures.	
		RS had contacted the developer but was not aware if the white lining had been put in place. AP asked if the proposed traffic island could be moved from the end of Empress Way to the rail crossing. MC asked if the original buff road colouring could be re-instated at the informal crossing. RS would contact	



		Development Control and report back at the next meeting.	
		RS to provide a written update for circulation to members.	
		Post meeting note: RS confirmed the crossing the crossing be placed on the right hand side of the junction as part of the planning condition, so unfortunately they will be unable to change this now. The developer is aiming to install this at the beginning of May.	
		There is a meeting with the developer next week in which the lining will be discussed, and confirm the placement of this within the next couple of weeks.	
		Discussion on Collision Reduction	
		RiS stated there had been many discussions about accident and speed reductions on the A338. He requested a more strategic look at the issue.	
c)	Collingbourne Kingston	The Group felt that whilst the Police and Crime Commissioner's investment, announced at the November Area Board, in officers speed guns and support for Community Speed Watch are welcome, they do not solve the issues on the A338.	
		Following a discussion, it was agreed that a combined approach between the Tidworth, Pewsey and Marlborough Area Boards to ensure WC provides a response to Highways England's consultation on the M4 to Dorset Coast Conectivity Study that will assist with the A338.	
		CW stated that the next AB was on 30 May and that he would update on progress with working with Pewsey and Marlborough	



		ABs. The Area Board seek support from the Pewsey and Marlborough Area Boards to ensure WC provides a response to Highways England's consultation on the M4 to Dorset Coast Conectivity Study that will assist with the A338.	
d)	Collingbourne Ducis	 Church Street – Kerbing Improvements PK and DD requested kerb improvements in Church Street as HGVs are eating into the bank next to a footpath. GR suggested waiting for this to be done if the road was to be resurfaced. RS to check if the road is on the five-year programme. RS confirmed this matter was not on the five-year maintenance programme. However, it has been added to the kerbing team's list of sites and depending on funding next year, it could be done then. If not, MC stated we would review the issue then. RS confirmed the budget for 2022/2023 is still awaited. RS to report to the next meeting. As RS was not available for this meeting, MC stated she should send a written report for members of the Group. RS provide a written update for circulation to Members. 	
e)	Perham Down bus route	NT queried if any news had come back from the bid to improve the bus service for Perham Down.MC stated Wiltshire Council would not know until the summer whether it had received Government funding that could fund	



		this. MC to chase Wiltshire Council before the next meeting.	
f)	Footpath Maintenance	As CATG's will have powers to fund footpath repairs and right of way styles to be replaced for example, GR advised that Parish and Town Councils should look at issues they would want attened to so that come Aprils meeting of the Group, there is a list that can be worked on with RS. MC stated he would send an email to the Town and Parish Councils. MC reported that only one PC had responded (the Everleigh PC request previously discussed), though Tidworth TC were to discuss the matter next week. MC asked that LTC and PCs provide requests for the next meeting.	
11.	Date of Next Meeting: October 2022.		

Tidworth Local Highway Footway Improvement Group

Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers